

# The weak topology of locally convex spaces and the weak-\* topology of their duals

Jordan Bell

`jordan.bell@gmail.com`

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

April 3, 2014

## 1 Introduction

These notes give a summary of results that everyone who does work in functional analysis should know about the weak topology on locally convex topological vector spaces and the weak-\* topology on their dual spaces. The most striking of the results we prove is Theorem [9](#) which shows that a subset of a locally convex space is bounded if and only if it is weakly bounded. It is straightforward to prove that if a set is bounded then it is weakly bounded, but to prove that if a set is weakly bounded then it is bounded we use the Hahn-Banach separation theorem, the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, and the uniform boundedness principle.

If  $X$  is a topological vector space then we will see that the weak topology on it is coarser than the original topology: any set that is open in the original topology is open in the weak topology. From this it follows that it is easier for a sequence to converge in the weak topology than in the original topology: for a sequence to converge to a point means that it is eventually contained in every neighborhood of the point, and a point has fewer neighborhoods in the weak topology than it does in the original topology. The weak topology encodes information we may care about, and we may be able to establish that certain sets are compact in the weak topology that are not compact in the original topology.

In these notes I first define the weak topology on a topological vector space  $X$ , and show that if  $X$  is locally convex then  $X$  with the weak topology is also a locally convex space. Indeed a normed space is locally convex, but there are function spaces that we care about that are not normed spaces. For example, the set of holomorphic functions on the open unit disc is a Fréchet space [1](#) that is not normable. If  $U$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $C^k(U)$  is a Fréchet space that

---

<sup>1</sup>A *Fréchet space* is a complete metrizable locally convex space. It is a fact that a locally convex space is metrizable if and only its topology is induced by countably many of its seminorms. See John B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 105, chapter IV, Proposition 2.1.

is not normable, and the set of Schwartz functions on  $U$  is also a Fréchet space that is not normable. Moreover, none of the theorems stated for topological vector spaces and locally convex spaces is much easier to prove in the case of normed spaces, and thus it is not a great waste of time to digest their statements in a larger category of spaces.

Except for the results about normed spaces, the hypotheses of all the theorems that we present are satisfied for a separable metrizable locally convex space. The hypotheses of every result in this note are satisfied for separable reflexive Banach spaces, for example,  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

If every instance of  $\mathbb{C}$  in this text is replaced with  $\mathbb{R}$ , the resulting text does not require any further changes to be correct.

## 2 Topological vector spaces

If  $X$  is a topological space and  $x \in X$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a set of open sets, we say that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a *local basis at  $x$*  if each element of  $\mathcal{B}$  includes  $x$  and if for every open set  $U$  that includes  $x$  there is some  $V \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $V \subseteq U$ . If for each  $x \in X$  the set  $\mathcal{B}_x$  is a local basis at  $x$ , then  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{B}_x$  is a basis for the topology of  $X$ . If  $X$  is a vector space,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a set of subsets of  $X$ , and  $x \in X$ , we define

$$x + \mathcal{B} = \{x + N : N \in \mathcal{B}\}, \quad x + N = \{x + y : y \in N\}.$$

If  $X$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with a topology  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  such that  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$  is Hausdorff and such that addition  $X \times X \rightarrow X$  and scalar multiplication  $\mathbb{C} \times X \rightarrow X$  are continuous, we say that  $X$  is a *topological vector space*. It is straightforward to prove that if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a local basis at 0 then  $x + \mathcal{B}$  is a local basis at  $x$ , and so  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \{x + \mathcal{B}\}$  is a basis for the topology  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ . To specify a topology on a vector space it suffices to specify a local basis at 0: This gives a basis by taking the union of the translates of the local basis over all  $x \in X$ , and then this basis generates a topology. However,  $X$  might not be a topological vector space with the topology thus generated. (That is, if we define a topology on a vector space by declaring certain sets including the origin to be open, the vector space need not be a topological vector space with this topology.)

A topological vector space  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$  is said to be *locally convex* if there is a local basis at 0 each element of which is convex. If  $\nu_\alpha$  is a family of seminorms on  $X$ , we define the *seminorm topology induced by this family* to be the coarsest topology on  $X$  such that for all  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\alpha \in I$ , the map  $x \mapsto \nu_\alpha(x - x_0)$  is continuous. We say that a set of seminorms  $\nu_\alpha$  is a *separating family* if  $x \neq 0$  implies that there is some  $\alpha$  such that  $\nu_\alpha(x) > 0$ . One can prove that a topological vector space is locally convex if and only if its topology is induced by a separating family of seminorms<sup>2</sup>

Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$  be a topological vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The *dual space*  $X^*$  is the set of all continuous linear maps  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The *weak topology* on  $X$ , which we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_w(X)$ , is the initial topology for  $X^*$ . That is,  $\mathcal{O}_w(X)$  is the

---

<sup>2</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 27, Theorem 1.36 and Theorem 1.37.

coarsest topology on  $X$  so that each element of  $X^*$  is continuous  $(X, \mathcal{O}_w(X)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Equivalently, the weak topology on  $X$  is the seminorm topology induced by the seminorms  $|\alpha|$ ,  $\alpha \in X^*$ . The topologies  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_w(X)$  are comparable, and  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  is at least as fine as  $\mathcal{O}_w(X)$ . That is,  $\mathcal{O}_w(X) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X)$ . A vague rule is that the smaller  $X^*$  is compared to the set of all linear maps  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the smaller  $\mathcal{O}_w(X)$  will be compared to  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ . If  $X^*$  separates  $X$  then  $(X, \mathcal{O}_w(X))$  is a locally convex topological vector space. It is locally convex because  $\mathcal{O}_w(X)$  is induced by the separating family of seminorms  $|\alpha|$ ,  $\alpha \in X^*$ .

- We say that  $x_k \rightarrow x$  *weakly* if  $x_k \rightarrow x$  in  $(X, \mathcal{O}_w(X))$ : for every neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$  in  $(X, \mathcal{O}_w(X))$ , the sequence  $x_k$  is eventually in  $N$ .
- We say that  $A$  is *weakly bounded* if  $A$  is a bounded subset of  $(X, \mathcal{O}_w(X))$ : for every neighborhood  $N$  of 0 in  $(X, \mathcal{O}_w(X))$  there is some  $c \geq 0$  such that  $A \subseteq \{cx : x \in N\} = cN$ .

### 3 Locally convex spaces

The *Hahn-Banach theorem separation theorem* states the following<sup>3</sup>

**Theorem 1** (Hahn-Banach separation theorem). *If*

- $X$  is a locally convex topological vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$
- $A, B$  are disjoint, nonempty, closed, convex subsets of  $X$
- $A$  is compact

then there is some  $\lambda \in X^*$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that, for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda(a) < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \operatorname{Re} \lambda(b).$$

It follows that if  $X$  is locally convex then  $X^*$  separates  $X$ . We can also use the Hahn-Banach separation theorem to prove that in a locally convex space, the weak closure of a convex set is equal to its original closure.<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 2.** *If  $E$  is a convex subset of a locally convex space  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$ , then the closure  $\bar{E}$  of  $E$  in  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$  is equal to the closure  $\bar{E}_w$  of  $E$  in  $(X, \mathcal{O}_w(X))$ .*

<sup>3</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 59, Theorem 3.4.

<sup>4</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 66, Theorem 3.12.

*Proof.* For  $A \subseteq X$ , denote  $A^c = X \setminus A$ . We have, as  $\mathcal{O}_w(X)$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{E} &= \left( \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{O}(X), E \subseteq U^c} U \right)^c \\ &\subseteq \left( \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{O}_w(X), E \subseteq U^c} U \right)^c \\ &= \overline{E}_w. \end{aligned}$$

In the other direction, let  $x_0 \notin \overline{E}$ . If we can show that  $x_0 \notin \overline{E}_w$ , this will show that  $\overline{E}_w \subseteq \overline{E}$  and hence that  $\overline{E} = \overline{E}_w$ . Let  $A = \{x_0\}$  and  $B = \overline{E}$ , which satisfy the conditions of the Hahn-Banach theorem. Thus there is some  $\lambda \in X^*$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $x \in \overline{E}$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda(x_0) < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \operatorname{Re} \lambda(x).$$

Let  $U = \{x \in X : \operatorname{Re} \lambda(x) < \gamma_1\}$ . The set  $V = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < \gamma_1\}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $U = \lambda^{-1}(V)$ , so  $U \in \mathcal{O}_w(X)$ . For every  $x \in \overline{E}$  we have  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda(x) > \gamma_2 > \gamma_1$ , and for every  $x \in U$  we have  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda(x) < \gamma_1$ , so  $\overline{E} \cap U = \emptyset$  and in particular  $E \cap U = \emptyset$ . The three facts  $x_0 \in U$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{O}_w(X)$ , and  $E \cap U = \emptyset$  imply that  $x_0 \notin \overline{E}_w$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

If a sequence converges weakly, it need not converge in the original topology. *Mazur's theorem* shows that if a sequence in a metrizable locally convex space converges weakly then there is a sequence in the convex hull of the original sequence that converges to the same limit as the weak limit of the original sequence<sup>5</sup>

**Theorem 3** (Mazur's theorem). *Let  $X$  be a metrizable locally convex space. If  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly, then there is a sequence  $y_i \in X$  such that each  $y_i$  is a convex combination of finitely many  $x_n$  and such that  $y_i \rightarrow x$ .*

*Proof.* The *convex hull* of a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is the set of all convex combinations of finitely many elements of  $A$ . The convex hull of a set is convex and contains the set. Let  $H$  be the convex hull of the sequence  $x_n$ , and let  $K$  be the weak closure of  $H$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly and  $x_n \in H$ , it follows that  $x \in K$ . As  $H$  is convex, Theorem 2 tells us that  $K = \overline{H}$ , so  $x \in \overline{H}$ . But  $X$  is metrizable, so  $x$  being in the closure of  $H$  implies that there is a sequence  $y_i \in H$  such that  $y_i \rightarrow x$ . This sequence  $y_i$  satisfies the claim.  $\square$

## 4 Weak-\* topology

If  $X$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set of linear maps  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that separates  $X$ , and we give  $X$  the initial topology for  $\mathcal{F}$ , then one can prove that with this

<sup>5</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 67, Theorem 3.13.

topology  $X$  is a locally convex space whose dual space is  $\mathcal{F}$ <sup>6</sup>

Let  $X$  be a topological vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and for  $x \in X$ , define  $f_x : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f_x(\lambda) = \lambda(x)$ .  $f_x$  is linear. If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in X^*$  are distinct, then  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \neq 0$  so there is some  $x \in X$  such that  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(x) \neq 0$ , which tells us that  $f_x(\lambda_1) \neq f_x(\lambda_2)$ . Therefore the set  $\{f_x : x \in X\}$  separates  $X^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_X(X^*)$  be the initial topology for  $\{f_x : x \in X\}$ , and by the previous paragraph we have that  $(X^*, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*))$  is a locally convex space whose dual space is  $\{f_x : x \in X\}$ . The topology  $\mathcal{O}_X(X^*)$  is called the *weak-\* topology* on  $X^*$ . We record what we've just said as a theorem to make it easier to look up.

**Theorem 4.** *If  $X$  is a topological vector space, then its dual  $X^*$  with the weak-\* topology  $\mathcal{O}_X(X^*)$  is a locally convex space, and the dual space of  $(X^*, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*))$  is the set of  $f_x : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where  $f_x(\lambda) = \lambda(x)$ ,  $x \in X, \lambda \in X^*$ .*

The *Banach-Alaoglu theorem*<sup>7</sup> shows that certain subsets of  $X^*$  are weak-\* compact, i.e. they are compact subsets of  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*))$ . The set  $K$  in the statement of the theorem is called the *polar* of the set  $V$ .

**Theorem 5** (Banach-Alaoglu theorem). *If  $X$  be a topological vector space and  $V \subseteq X$  is a neighborhood of 0, then*

$$K = \{\lambda \in X^* : \text{if } x \in V \text{ then } |\lambda(x)| \leq 1\}$$

*is a compact subset of  $(X^*, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*))$ .*

The following theorem shows that in a separable topological vector space, a weak-\* compact set is weak-\* metrizable<sup>8</sup>. This is useful because if possible we would like to characterize a topology by its convergent sequences rather than by its open sets.

**Theorem 6.** *If  $X$  is a separable topological vector space and  $K$  is a weak-\* compact subset of  $X^*$ , then  $K$  with the subspace topology inherited from  $(X^*, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*))$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a countable dense set in  $X$ , and define  $f_n : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f_n(\lambda) = \lambda(x_n)$ . For each  $n$ ,  $f_n : (X^*, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is linear and continuous. For distinct  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in X^*$ , the set  $U = \{x \in X : \lambda_1(x) \neq \lambda_2(x)\}$  is an open subset of  $X$ . As  $U$  is a nonempty open set and  $\{x_n\}$  is a dense subset of  $X$ , there is some  $x_n \in U$ , giving  $f_n(\lambda_1) \neq f_n(\lambda_2)$ . Therefore  $\{f_n : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$  separates  $X^*$ . It is a fact that if  $Y$  is a compact topological space and there is a countable set of continuous functions  $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that separates  $Y$  then  $Y$  is metrizable.<sup>9</sup>  $K$  is a compact topological space with the subspace topology inherited from  $\mathcal{O}_X(X^*)$ , and hence this topology on  $K$  is metrizable.  $\square$

<sup>6</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 64, Theorem 3.10.

<sup>7</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 68, Theorem 3.15.

<sup>8</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 70, Theorem 3.16.

<sup>9</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 63, §3.8, (c). In Rudin it is stated for real valued functions.

We can combine Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 to get the following, which states that the polar of a neighborhood of 0 in a separable topological vector space is weak-\* sequentially compact 10

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $X$  be a separable topological vector space. If  $V$  is a neighborhood of 0 and if the sequence  $\lambda_n \in X^*$  satisfies*

$$|\lambda_n(x)| \leq 1, \quad n \geq 1, x \in V,$$

*then there is a subsequence  $\lambda_{a(n)}$  and some  $\lambda \in X^*$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{a(n)}(x) = \lambda(x).$$

*Proof.* The Banach-Alaoglu Theorem implies that the polar

$$K = \{\lambda \in X^* : \text{if } x \in V \text{ then } |\lambda(x)| \leq 1\},$$

is weak-\* compact.  $K$  with the subspace topology inherited from  $\mathcal{O}_X(X^*)$  is compact, hence by Theorem 6 it is metrizable. Since the sequence  $\lambda_n$  is contained in  $K$ , it has a subsequence  $\lambda_{a(n)}$  that converges weakly to some  $\lambda \in K$ . For each  $x \in X$ , the function  $f_x : (X^*, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $f_x(\lambda) = \lambda(x)$  is continuous, hence for all  $x \in X$  we have  $f_x(\lambda_{a(n)}) \rightarrow f_x(\lambda)$ , which is the claim.  $\square$

The following lemma gives a tractable characterization of weakly bounded sets 11

**Lemma 8.** *If  $X$  is a topological vector space and  $E$  is a subset of  $X$ , then  $E$  is weakly bounded if and only if for all  $\lambda \in X^*$  there is some  $\gamma(\lambda)$  such that if  $x \in E$  then  $|\lambda(x)| \leq \gamma(\lambda)$ .*

The following theorem 12 shows that in a locally convex space, the boundedness of a set is equivalent to its weak boundedness. Its proof involves much of what we have talked about so far, and actually requires introducing some new terms with which one should probably have at least an acquaintance. The statement of the theorem does not involve the weak-\* topology on  $X^*$ , but the proof uses the Banach-Alaoglu theorem.

**Theorem 9** (Weak boundedness is equivalent to boundedness). *If  $X$  is locally convex and  $E \subseteq X$ , then  $E$  is bounded in  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$  if and only if  $E$  is bounded in  $(X, \mathcal{O}_w(X))$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $E$  is bounded in  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$  (for every neighborhood of 0, the set  $E$  is contained in some dilation of that neighborhood). Let  $N$  be a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathcal{O}_w(X)$ . There is some  $U \in \mathcal{O}_w(X)$  with  $0 \in U \subseteq N$ . Now,  $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ , so  $N$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ . As  $E$  is bounded, there

<sup>10</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 70, Theorem 3.17.

<sup>11</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 66.

<sup>12</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 70, Theorem 3.18.

is some  $\alpha$  such that  $E \subseteq \alpha N = \{\alpha x : x \in N\}$ , which is what it means for  $E$  to be bounded in  $(X, \mathcal{O}_w(X))$ .

Suppose that  $E$  is bounded in  $(X, \mathcal{O}_w(X))$ . Let  $N$  be a neighborhood of 0 in  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$ . We want to show that there is some  $\alpha$  such that  $E \subseteq \{\alpha x : x \in N\}$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a local basis at a point in a topological vector space, then every element of  $\mathcal{B}$  contains the closure of some element of  $\mathcal{B}$ .<sup>13</sup> A subset  $A$  of a vector space is said to be *balanced* if for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1$  we have  $\alpha A \subseteq A$ . It is a fact that in a topological vector space, every convex neighborhood of 0 contains a convex balanced neighborhood of 0.<sup>14</sup> The purpose of having said all of this is the following: since  $N$  is a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ , there is a balanced convex  $V$  that is a neighborhood of 0 in  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$  such that  $\overline{V} \subseteq N$ , where  $\overline{V}$  is the closure of  $V$  in  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$ . Moreover, it is a fact that the closure of a balanced set is itself balanced, and the closure of a convex set is itself convex.<sup>15</sup> so  $\overline{V}$  is a balanced convex set that is contained in  $N$ . Thus to prove  $E \subseteq \alpha N$  it suffices to prove that  $E \subseteq \alpha \overline{V}$ , and this is what we will do.

Let

$$K = \{\lambda \in X^* : \text{if } x \in V \text{ then } |\lambda(x)| \leq 1\},$$

the polar of  $V$ . If  $x \in V$  and  $\lambda \in K$  then  $|\lambda(x)| \leq 1$ , so

$$V \subseteq \{x \in X : \text{if } \lambda \in K \text{ then } |\lambda(x)| \leq 1\} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in K} \{x \in X : |\lambda(x)| \leq 1\}.$$

The right-hand side is an intersection of closed sets in  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$ , so it is closed. Therefore  $\overline{V}$  is contained in the right-hand side. Furthermore, it is a consequence of the Hahn-Banach separation theorem<sup>16</sup> that if  $B$  is a convex balanced closed set in a locally convex space and  $x_0 \notin B$ , then there is some  $\lambda \in X^*$  such that  $\lambda(x_0) > 1$  and  $|\lambda(x)| \leq 1$  for  $x \in B$ . Thus, if  $x_0 \notin \overline{V}$ , then there is some  $\lambda \in X^*$  such that  $\lambda(x_0) > 1$  and if  $x \in \overline{V}$  then  $|\lambda(x)| \leq 1$ . From this it follows that  $\lambda \in K$ , and then because  $\lambda(x_0) > 1$  it follows that

$$x_0 \notin \{x \in X : \text{if } \lambda \in K \text{ then } |\lambda(x)| \leq 1\}.$$

We have shown that if  $x_0 \notin \overline{V}$  then  $x_0$  is not an element of the polar of  $K$ , and therefore

$$\overline{V} = \{x \in X : \text{if } \lambda \in K \text{ then } |\lambda(x)| \leq 1\}.$$

By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem,  $K$  is a compact set in  $(X^*, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*))$ , and one checks that  $K$  is convex. The *uniform boundedness principle*<sup>17</sup> states that if

- $W$  and  $Z$  are topological vector spaces
- $K$  is a compact convex set in  $W$

<sup>13</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 11, Theorem 1.11.

<sup>14</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 12, Theorem 1.14.

<sup>15</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 11, Theorem 1.13.

<sup>16</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 61, Theorem 3.7.

<sup>17</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 46, Theorem 2.9

- $\Gamma$  is a set of continuous linear maps  $W \rightarrow Z$
- For each  $w \in K$ , the set  $\Gamma(w) = \{g(w) : g \in \Gamma\}$  is a bounded subset of  $Z$

then there is a bounded set  $B$  in  $Z$  such that if  $g \in \Gamma$  then  $g(K) \subseteq B$ . For  $x \in E$ , define  $g_x : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $g_x(\lambda) = \lambda(x)$ , and define  $\Gamma = \{g_x : x \in E\}$ . Because  $E$  is weakly bounded, for all  $\lambda \in X^*$  there is some  $\gamma(\lambda)$  such that if  $x \in E$  then  $|\lambda(x)| \leq \gamma(\lambda)$ ; this is by Lemma 8. Hence for all  $\lambda \in X^*$  there is some  $\gamma(\lambda)$  such that the set  $\Gamma(\lambda)$  is contained in the closed disc in  $\mathbb{C}$  with radius  $\gamma(\lambda)$ . Thus  $\Gamma(\lambda)$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We apply the uniform boundedness principle using  $W = (X^*, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*))$  and  $Z = \mathbb{C}$ . We thus obtain that there is some  $0 \leq \gamma < \infty$  such that if  $g \in \Gamma$  then  $g(K)$  is contained in the closed disc of radius  $\gamma$ . That is, for all  $x \in E$  and  $\lambda \in K$ , we have

$$|g_x(\lambda)| \leq \gamma,$$

i.e. for all  $x \in E$  and  $\lambda \in K$  we have

$$|\lambda(x)| \leq \gamma.$$

Therefore, if  $x \in E$  and  $\lambda \in K$ , then

$$\left| \lambda \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot x \right) \right| = \frac{1}{\gamma} |\lambda(x)| \leq 1,$$

which means that  $\frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot x \in \bar{V}$ . This is true for all  $x \in E$ , so  $\frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot E \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq N$ , and hence  $E \subseteq \gamma \cdot N$ . Since  $N$  was an arbitrary neighborhood of 0 in  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$ , we have satisfied the definition of the set  $E$  being bounded in  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

The final result we state in this section gives a condition for the dual of a locally convex space to be weak-\* separable.<sup>18</sup> We already stated in Theorem 4 that the dual with the weak-\* topology of a topological vector space is itself a locally convex space.

**Theorem 10.** *If  $X$  is a separable metrizable locally convex space, then  $(X^*, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*))$  is a separable locally convex space.*

## 5 Normed spaces

Let  $X$  be a normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . The topology on  $X$  is the coarsest topology such that for each  $x_0 \in X$ , the map  $x \mapsto \|x - x_0\|$  is continuous. A normed vector space is locally convex and is metrizable, with metric  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ .

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological vector spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is a linear map, we say that  $T$  is *bounded* if  $E$  being bounded in  $X$  implies that  $T(E)$  is bounded in  $Y$ .<sup>19</sup>

<sup>18</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 90, chapter 3, Exercise 28.

<sup>19</sup>A statement close to it is proved in Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 24, Theorem 1.32.



**Theorem 11.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed vector spaces and let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be linear. The following three statements are equivalent:

- $T$  is continuous.
- $T$  is bounded.
- There is some  $\gamma$  such that if  $x \in X$  then  $\|Tx\| \leq \gamma \|x\|$ .

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are normed spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is a bounded linear map, the operator norm  $\|T\|$  of  $T$  is defined to be the infimum of those  $\gamma$  such that if  $x \in X$  then  $\|Tx\| \leq \gamma \|x\|$ .  $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\|$ . The set of bounded linear maps  $X \rightarrow Y$  is denoted  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ .  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is a normed space, and if  $Y$  is a Banach space then  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is a Banach space.<sup>20</sup>  $X^* = \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{C})$ , and since  $\mathbb{C}$  is a Banach space, with the operator norm  $X^*$  is a Banach space.

The following theorem rewrites Theorem 9 in the terminology of norms.<sup>21</sup>

**Theorem 12** (Weak boundedness is equivalent to boundedness). If  $X$  is a normed space and  $E$  is a subset of  $X$ , then

$$\sup_{x \in E} |\lambda(x)| < \infty$$

for all  $\lambda \in X^*$  if and only if there is some  $\gamma$  such that  $x \in E$  implies

$$\|x\| \leq \gamma.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\sup_{x \in E} |\lambda(x)| < \infty$  holds for all  $\lambda \in X^*$ . By Lemma 8, this means that the set  $E$  is weakly bounded. But by Theorem 9 this implies that  $E$  is bounded. The closed unit ball is a neighborhood of 0 in  $(X, \mathcal{O}(X))$ , so, as  $E$  is bounded, there is some  $\gamma$  such that  $E \subseteq \{\gamma x : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ . Hence, if  $x \in E$  then  $\|x\| \leq \gamma$ .

Suppose that there is some  $\gamma$  such that  $x \in E$  implies that  $\|x\| \leq \gamma$ , and let  $\lambda \in X^*$ . Because  $\lambda$  is continuous, for  $x \in E$  we have

$$|\lambda(x)| \leq \|\lambda\| \|x\| \leq \|\lambda\| \gamma < \infty.$$

□

**Theorem 13** (Banach-Alaoglu theorem). If  $X$  be a normed vector space, then  $B = \{\lambda \in X^* : \|\lambda\| \leq 1\}$  is a compact subset of  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*))$ .

*Proof.* It is a fact<sup>22</sup> (proved using the Hahn-Banach extension theorem) that for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\|x\| = \sup\{|\lambda(x)| : \lambda \in B\}.$$

From this and Theorem 5, it follows that  $B$  is weak-\* compact in  $X^*$ . □

<sup>20</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 92, Theorem 4.

<sup>21</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 71.

<sup>22</sup>Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 94, Theorem 4.3.

The *Eberlein-Smulian theorem* states that a set in a normed space is weakly compact if and only if the set is weakly sequentially compact.<sup>[23]</sup>

**Theorem 14** (Eberlein-Smulian theorem). *If  $X$  is a normed space, then a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is compact in  $\mathcal{O}_w(X)$  if and only if every sequence in  $A$  has a subsequence that converges in  $\mathcal{O}_w(X)$  to an element of  $A$ .*

## 6 Banach spaces

We say that a Banach space  $X$  is *reflexive* if  $X^{**} = X$ , where  $X^* = \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{C})$  and  $X^{**} = \mathcal{B}(X^*, \mathbb{C})$ . (Although it makes sense to talk about  $X^*$  for a normed space,  $X^*$  is itself a Banach space and so too is  $X^{**}$ , hence if a normed space were reflexive then it would have to be a Banach space.)

*Kakutani's theorem* relates the property of a Banach space being reflexive with weak compactness.<sup>[24]</sup>

**Theorem 15** (Kakutani's theorem). *The closed unit ball in a Banach space is weakly compact if and only if the space is reflexive.*

Thus, combining the Eberlein-Smulian theorem and Kakutani's theorem we get that a Banach space is reflexive if and only if the closed unit ball is weakly sequentially compact.

The following theorem states that a Banach space is separable if and only if the closed unit ball in the dual space is weak-\* metrizable.<sup>[25]</sup>

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $B = \{\lambda \in X^* : \|\lambda\| \leq 1\}$ .  $X$  is separable if and only if  $B$  with the subspace topology inherited from  $(X^*, \mathcal{O}_X(X^*))$  is metrizable.*

## 7 Hilbert spaces

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , linear in the first argument. The *Riesz representation theorem*<sup>[26]</sup> states that if  $\lambda \in H^*$ , then there is a unique  $h_\lambda \in H$  such that if  $h \in H$  then

$$\lambda(h) = \langle h, h_\lambda \rangle,$$

and  $\|\lambda\| = \|h_\lambda\|$ . On the other hand, if  $h_0 \in H$  then  $\lambda(h) = \langle h, h_0 \rangle$  satisfies, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|\lambda_{h_0}(h)| = |\langle h, h_0 \rangle| \leq \|h\| \|h_0\|,$$

hence, by Theorem [11]  $\lambda_{h_0} \in H^*$ . Thus  $H^* = \{\lambda_{h_0} : h_0 \in H\}$ . The weak topology  $\mathcal{O}_w(H)$  on  $H$  is the coarsest topology on  $H$  such that each  $\lambda \in H^*$

<sup>23</sup>Robert E. Megginson, *An Introduction to Banach Space Theory*, p. 248, Theorem 2.8.6.

<sup>24</sup>Joseph Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, p. 18, chapter III.

<sup>25</sup>John B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 134, Theorem 5.1.

<sup>26</sup>John B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 13, Theorem 3.4.

is continuous  $(H, \mathcal{O}_w(H)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , hence such that for each  $h_0 \in H$ , the function  $h \mapsto \langle h, h_0 \rangle$  is continuous  $(H, \mathcal{O}_w(H)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Thus, a net  $h_\alpha \in H$  converges to  $h \in H$  in  $\mathcal{O}_w(H)$  if and only if for all  $h_0 \in H$  we have that  $\langle h_\alpha, h_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$  converges to  $\langle h, h_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ , and this characterizes the weak topology on  $H$ .